



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| ADDITIONALO | | | · - | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/772,381 | 02/06/2004 | Tong Shik Shin | SHIN3004/EM | 5747 | |
| 23364 7590 11/19/2004 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER OJINI, EZIAMARA ANTHONY | |
| | | | OJINI, EZIAMAF | | |
| FOURTH FLOC | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | , VA 22314 | , | 3723 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | -dC |
|---|---|--|----------------|
| | 10/772,381 | SHIN, TONG SHIK | v |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anthony Ojini | 3723 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | opears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addre | ess |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to cause the application to become AB. | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this common the common to the common than the comm | nunication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 I | ebruary 2004. | | |
| , | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | | erits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/ar | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o | bjected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | - | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(s | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 | .121(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-1 | 152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document | | nligation No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | 70 |
| application from the International Bureau | | ocorrod in this Hational Otal | y c |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list- | | eceived. | |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152 |) |

Application/Control Number: 10/772,381

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Lai et al (6,561,887 B2)).

With respect to claims 1,2, AAPA discloses grinding wheel comprising: a backing disk (12) having a center hole; a plurality coated abrasives flaps circumferentially arranged the backing disk and attached thereto; and fastening member (32) for fixing wheel (fig. 2).

AAPA fails to disclose a bolt being fitted through the center hole of the backing disk, the bolt having head of a size larger than that of the central hole so that hangs from backing disk; and a holder having an upper part into which bolt fitted and lower part connected rotating part a grinder bolt to grinding. AAPA also fails to disclose wherein the upper part of the holder has an internal thread portion and the bolt is screw-fitted into the internal thread portion.

Lai et al. disclose a bolt being fitted through center the hole of a backing disk, the bolt having head of a size larger than that of the central hole so that hangs from backing disk; and a holder having an upper part with internal thread portion into which bolt fitted and lower part connected to a rotating part a grinder (see fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/772,381

Art Unit: 3723

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide apparatus of AAPA with **a bolt** being fitted through center the hole of a backing disk, wherein the bolt include a **head of a size larger** than that of the central hole so that hangs from backing disk; and **a holder** having an upper part with internal thread portion into which bolt fitted and lower part connected to a rotating part a grinder in view of Lai et al. so as to retain the grinding disk firmly to a rotating part the grinder.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Lai et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Williams (5,637,033).

With respect to claim 3, AAPA fails to disclose wherein the fastening member includes a washer and nut, which are sequentially fitted onto the bolt protruding from the backing disk.

Williams discloses a fastening member that includes a washer (30) and nut (32), which are sequentially fitted onto the bolt protruding from the backing disk (see fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide apparatus of AAPA with a fastening member that includes a washer and nut which are sequentially fitted onto the bolt protruding from the backing disk in view of Williams so as to further assist in centering the grinding wheel as the nut is tightened against the lower surface of the backing disk.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,381

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure Ward,Sr., Miller et al., and Bullock disclose abrasive wheel having fastening member respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Ojini whose telephone number is 703 305 3768. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 to 4 Tuesday-Friday with every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703 308 2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AO 11/18/04 Appini